Chen YY, Kunst E, Nasrawi D, Massey D, Johnston ANB, Keller K, & Lin FF

HERSTON HEALTH PRECINCT SYMPOSIUM 2021

DISC-0029

6 - 10 September 2021 Education Centre RBWH

Electrocardiogram interpretation: How competent are acute care nurses?

@Yinqyan Chen • lisa.chen@scu.edu.au





Background

Acute care nurses interpret electrocardiograms (ECG) as one of the vital roles in their routine practice¹. However, their competency in ECG interpretation remains unknown.

Methods

Study design: A systematic mixed studies review ².

Search methods: CINAHL, Medline, Scopus, and Cochrane searched in April 2021.

Quality appraisal: The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT)³ and the Quality Improvement Minimum Quality Criteria Set ⁴. Synthesis: A data-based convergent synthesis design⁵ with qualitative content analyses⁶ adopted.

Aim

To identify and synthesise research evidence on nurses' competency in ECG interpretation in acute care settings.

Results

Papers were included: n=43

- Quantitative research: n=35
- Qualitative research: n=2
- Mixed-methods study: n=1
- Quality improvement projects: n=5 Methodology quality: From low to high

Future Directions

There is an urgent need to explore opportunities to promote and maintain nurses' competency in ECG interpretation to avoid skill decay.

Individual, professional, and organisational factors affected competency in ECG interpretation.

Influencing factors

Most studies used paperbased questionnaires and/or tests; The majority conducted twice from day 1 to 6 months apart.

More research is needed to develop a standardised and comprehensive tool to assess nurses' competency in ECG interpretation.

Definition of competency

referred to in the context of knowledge; Attitudes and skills not commonly assessed.

Competency

levels

"Competency" was often

Competency in ECG interpretation

Competency assessment

Interventions led to increased competency in interpretation; Various competency levels in cross-sectional studies; Some negative attitudes noted.

References

1. Chen et al. (2020); 2. Pluye et al. (2014); 3. Hong et al. 2018; 4. Hempel et al. (2015); 5. Pluye et al. (2017); 6. Elo et al. 2014



















